The Missouri Compromise of 1820

The Louisiana

Purchase

The Louisiana Purchase doubled the size of the United States in 1803. The land was divided into territories and as the population of those territories grew, they could apply to become states. Alabama and Illinois applied to become states around the same time. Both were accepted rather easily. Illinois was a free state and Alabama was a slave state. The number of each in Congress would remain equal.

However, when Missouri applied to become a state, it threw Congress into months of angry debate. If Missouri was allowed to enter the Union as a free state, the South would lose its voting power, and its power to block any anti-slavery bills. Therefore, southern representatives viciously fought against Missouri being added to the Union as a free state.

The Northern Point of View

- Most of Missouri is in the northern part of the country, where slavery is already banned.
- If slavery can expand to Missouri, won't it be able to expand to all of the Louisiana Purchase?
- The Tallmadge
 Amendment Missouri
 can enter the Union, but
 only as a free state.

The Southern Point of View

- Congress has no right to prevent slaveholders from keeping slaves (property) within a state.
- Northerners are trying to end slavery altogether.
- If slavery is stopped in Missouri, might it be stopped in all of the Louisiana Purchase?

The Compromise

Henry Clay, a representative from Kentucky, eventually came up with the compromise.

1. Missouri would be added to the Union as a slave state. However, Maine (which has previously been part of Massachusetts) would now become a free state.

2. A line would be drawn across the Louisiana Purchase, below the Missouri territory, at the 36°30' latitude line. New states below the line would allow slavery while new states above the line would not


Will this compromise last? Can you see any problems with this compromise?

"If the Union must be dissolved, slavery is precisely the question on which it ought to break. For the present, however, the contest is laid asleep."

John Quincy Adams

Young Henry Clay

The Missouri Compromise of 1820



The Compromise of 1850

While the Missouri Compromise stopped the debate in Congress for a while, the nation was still in turmoil over the issue of slavery. Abolitionists sent thousands of anti-slavery petitions to Washington D.C. Congress decided to avoid addressing those petitions in 1836.

In 1831, Nat Turner staged a slave rebellion and more than 50 white people were killed. Fears of further uprisings led to stricter slave laws. Meanwhile, slaves continued to assert their right to freedom by running away. Some sympathetic Northerners began helping those slaves to freedom.

Then in 1846, war with Mexico broke out, and the U.S. ultimately won the war. Congress now had to decide whether to allow slavery in the new land.

The Mexican

The Northern Point of View

- All of the Mexican Cession should be free territory.
- Or, the new territories should be decided by popular sovereignty.
 This means that the voters of the states would decide if the territory was slave or free.

The Southern Point of View

- All of the Mexican
 Cession should be open
 to slavery.
- Or, the Missouri
 Compromise line should
 be extended all the way
 to the Pacific Coast.
- Slave owners have the right to take their property into the territories.

The Compromise

	90111
For the North	For the South Surv
California would be added to the Union as a free state.	The Fugitive Slave Act was passed - This act made it easier for slaveholders to find and take back their slaves.
The slave trade in Washington D.C. ended (slavery didn't).	The Mexican Cession was divided into two territories - New Mexico and Utah - both would decide the issue of slavery by popular sovereignty.
Finally, a border dignute between Toyag and New	

Finally, a border dispute between Texas and New Mexico was decided in favor of New Mexico.

Will this compromise survive? Will I?



The Compromise of 1850

Label the Following:

- Utah Territory
- ☐ New Mexico Territory
- □ California
- ☐ Unorganized Territory
- Oregon Territory
- Minnesota Territory

Key


Slave States



Indian Territory

Free Territory

Mexican Cession - now open to popular sovereignty



The Kansas-Nebraska Act of 1854

The Northern territory in the Louisiana Purchase had been classified as official Indian Territory since the territory became part of the U.S. However, in 1854, Senator Stephen Douglas proposed dividing the land into two areas - Kansas and Nebraska. Both would decide the issue of slavery through popular sovereignty. Douglass wanted to open up the land so that the transcontinental railroad could pass through.

While the act made it through Congress, it only encouraged more controversy. Soon, Kansas became a breeding ground for political violence. Settlers, both pro and anti-slavery, poured over the border of Kansas to support or oppose slavery. Two governments were set up, one supported slavery and the other was against it. Violence broke out between pro and anti-slavery settlers, and Kansas become known as "bleeding Kansas."

BLEDING KANSAS

KANSAS

John Brown

Caution, Sir! I am eternally tired of hearing that word caution. It is nothing but the word of cowardice!

- John Brown

Lawrence, Kansas - On May 21, 1856, over 700 pro-slavery "border ruffians" attacked the anti-slavery town of Lawrence. They burned down the hotel, looted homes, and destroyed the printing presses.

Pottawattamie, Kansas - On May 23, 1856, John Brown, enraged by the attack on Lawrence, invaded the nearby pro-slavery town of Pottawattamie. In the dark of night, he and four of his sons dragged 5 pro-slavery men from their beds and hacked them apart with swords. **Washington D.C.** - The halls of Congress also saw an outbreak of violence.

When Charles Sumner, an abolitionist Senator from Massachusetts, gave a speech titled "The Crime against Kansas," he was assaulted by a Representative, Preston Brooks. Brooks felt that Sumner has insulted Brooks' uncle in the speech. Two days later, Brooks took his cane, and hit Sumner until his cane was broken. Sumner's injuries would impact him for the rest of his life. The reactions to Brooks' assault reflect. how divided the nation has become. Supporters actually sent Brooks new canes to replace the one he had broken. One was engraved with the words "hit him again."

The Kansas-Nebraska Act of 1854

